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Every standard is only as good as its test methods.
CARGO COMPARTMENT FIRE DETECTION INSTRUMENTS

1. **PURPOSE:** This standard establishes minimum requirements for cargo compartment fire detection instruments primarily for use in reciprocating and turbine engine powered aircraft.

2. **SCOPE:**

2.1 This standard covers the following types of fire detection instruments intended for use in protecting aircraft cargo compartments, galleys, electronic equipment bays and other similar installations.

2.2 **Types:**

**Type I:** Carbon monoxide, an instrument which will actuate an alarm signal when the concentration of carbon monoxide in air exceeds a specified value.

**Type II:** Smoke detector, electronic, an instrument operating on the principle of smoke particles modifying the relationship between a light beam and electronic light sensor which will actuate an alarm signal when the concentration of smoke in air exceeds a specified value.

**Type III:** Smoke detector, visual, an instrument which, by visual means, will show in a positive manner the presence of smoke when the concentration of smoke in air exceeds a specified value.

**Type IV:** Smoke detector, electronic, an instrument operating on the principle of smoke particles modifying the current in an ionization chamber which will actuate an alarm signal when the concentrations of smoke in air exceeds a specified value.

**Type V:** Same as Type IV except maximum operating altitude is 18,000 ft. (5,486 M) when installed in a non-pressurized area.
Current revision of AS8036 and TSO C1
- Hasn’t been updated since 1985
- Calls out DO-160B
- Does not specify any testing for false alarm resistance
- TSO C1d references AS8036 DO-160D
- TSO C1d asks for extra testing to address effect of sudden cabin pressure increase

Future revision of AS8036 and TSO C1 2012 revision
- Will call out latest revision of DO-160 (currently Rev G)
- Will specify testing for false alarm resistance
- Will specify testing for sudden cabin pressure increase
- TSO C1e will reference AS8036, which will specify all required minimum performance standard testing

AS8036 and updated TSO should result in better detectors and more safety
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Cargo Smoke Detector Challenges

- FIRE
- DUST
- FOG
- INSECTICIDE
- LIGHT
EN/UL smoke detector fire test standards

False alarm rejection ratio
which is taking into account real fires
will not be part of the SAE standard

\[ R = \frac{L_{O_{\text{amb}}} \text{(False Alarm)}}{L_{O_{\text{amb}}} \text{(Real Alarm)}} \]

Rationale: This value is only significant if at the same time the detailed technology and algorithm of the smoke detector is known. This is not within the scope of the standardization considerations.
Test procedure status

MIL-STD-810F, 520.2 Proc. III procedure provides combined environment tests.

The test method for smoke detector false alarm stability shall simulate the fog formation in case of transition from a cold/dry to a hot/humid environment.
DUST
Results

Arizona road dust, fine

Based on the test results obtained at FMA Tech Center, the light attenuation to be used for dust blade spar resistance standard shell is:

10%LT/ft = 32.8%LT/m = 1.72dB/m

Test setup prototype

Important:
- Reproducible setup
- Controlled dust injection
- Measured airspeed
- Standard firm
Tests performed at FAA Tec Center in order to estimate realistic amount of dust for test chamber
Results
Arizona road dust, fine

Based on the test results obtained at FAA Tech Center, the light obscuration to be used for dust false alarm resistance standard shall be

$10\%LT/ft = 32,8\%LT/m = 1,72db/m$
Test setup prototype

Important:

- reproducible slope
- controlled dust injection
- rotational air speed
- laminar flow
INSECTICIDE
The most challenging issue
Based on the test results obtained at FAA Tech Center, the light obscurcation to be used for dust false alarm resistance standard shall be

\[8\%LT/ft = 26.2\%LT/m = 1.3\text{db/m}\]
- Test setup currently under discussion
- Goal: minimum diversity of test apparatus
- possibly use dust channel
Test procedure according to EN54 agreed by the board
Summary

• AS8036 committee is WebExing regularly (bi-weekly)

Achievements so far:
• FAA Tech Center Test campaign and resulting agreement on test levels for dust and insecticide
• Agreement on ambient light test procedure
• Specification of absolute values, no ratio

ToDo:
• Fog test procedure to be finalized
• Insecticide test procedure and setup to be discussed
Questions?