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Waste Compartment Fire Containment

14 CFR 25.853(h)

All Waste Compartments, Meal Trolleys & Waste Trolleys must be substantiated by Test or Analysis.

Industry/Regulators lack harmonized published methods of compliance (MOCs) to substantiate by analysis.
Waste Compartment Fire Containment

Our task group is assessing 26 proposed MOCs & design guidelines and 12 test standardization guidelines.

Only a few of these are specifically mentioned in FAA ACs.

The others are straightforward often using aspects of the flammability Policy Statement.

Additionally, several test aspects are not harmonized.
Waste Compartment Fire Containment- Shimming gaps

Shim size and placement- not standardized.

Shimming doors/flaps w/ metal trim that overlaps the door surround panels vs. nested door/flap designs that overlap internal metal door support trims.

Do we shim designs with mechanically attached compressed seals that yield a ‘zero’ gap?

How to standardize?
Waste Compartment Fire Containment- 50% Flame Front and Temperature Spike

Test Requires a 50% flame front on the top surface of trash ignited before closing the compartment.

What to do if the 50% requirement is met but not centered under the thermocouple? (The thermocouple graph may not show as prominent of a temperature spike.)

Best Practice to ensure max temperature is recorded.
Waste Compartment Fire Containment- Hinge gaps

Air gap through hinges- Can we create standard values for comparing designs using the same hinge?
Waste Compartment Fire Containment- Fire Load Discussion

Current Fire loads.

Replace the cigarette box with a clear plastic cup?

Accufleet to analyze airline trash from selected US and non-US airlines and American Airlines to provide list of airline catering items to help select replacement for the cigarette box.

Add alternative trash sizes to accommodate non-USA test locations.
Developed trash density exercise and initial results of 3 company’s test trash density showed:

Up to 16% difference in trash weight.

Up to 81% difference in trash density.

Need more data and will discuss next actions in our task group breakout.
Waste Compartment Fire Containment - Trash Density

- 2-ply paper towel
- 8-ounce paper hot cup
- Cigarette box
- 2-ply paper napkin
- 3-ounce paper cold cup
Waste Compartment Fire Containment- Trash Conditioning

The task recommends that test trash be stored in a conditioned area prior to test. An air conditioned office environment is acceptable – it limits high humidity and extreme heat / cold conditions.
Explore alternate fire loads.

Gulfstream suggested for consideration the scalable wood crib fuel load specified per UL 711. A small pan of heptane is used to ignite. More to be discussed tomorrow.

And what about the foam block?

All work is committed to ensure that future fire loads are equivalent to those used today.
What needs to be 45-degree BB test compliant?

Mortise and tenon panel joints – NO.

Used to fill gaps that create a barrier to exit the waste compartment- YES.

May need to consider repairs for continued compliance.
Waste Compartment Fire Containment - Wear, Tear and Misalignment

Chp 10 of the Fire Test Handbook states:

Chapter 10 Supplement

This supplement contains advisory material pertinent to referenced paragraphs.

10.2.5 An integral floor is part of the galley/cart waste compartment. It is not the aircraft floor panel.

10.5.1 Misalignment generally refers to maximum air gaps, maximum seal interfaces, minimum overlaps, etc., allowed by drawing tolerances. Misalignment must be simulated during testing because with repeated waste receptacle handling, seals are unlikely to remain airtight. Misalignment may be represented during the test by using a 3/4-inch-long shim to support the door opening representing the allowable tolerance in the design drawings.

TSO – C184 states:

b. Instructions covering periodic maintenance, calibration, and repair, for the continued airworthiness of the airplane galley insert equipment. Include recommended inspection intervals and service life, as appropriate.

Do we need to test for acceptable repairs?
Waste Compartment Fire Containment - Very Small Waste Compartments

Any exclusions for waste compartments?

PS-ANM100-00016 addresses exclusions for ‘special’ waste compartments under 0.5 cubic feet in volume.

What about small waste compartments in controlled areas such as the cockpit?
Waste Compartment Fire Containment Task Group Organization

MOCs, Similarity Guidelines and Test Method Guidelines are grouped by type and are being assigned to task group members to flesh out details.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM #</th>
<th>MOC Type</th>
<th>MOC Description</th>
<th>Actions</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Greater compartment volume substantiates lesser volume. [FAA AC25-17A]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Greater air gap substantiates lesser air gap. [FAA AC25-17A]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Designs with a metal waste can: Testing without the waste can substantiates with</td>
<td>Installers may add a metallic container to the compartment if the original design was tested without a container.</td>
<td>Would also need to verify the fit of a replacement container would ensure trash can not fall between the container and compartment walls. Scott Can we simplify to be a material substitution metal for non-metal container? - Jeff G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>waste can installed. [FAA AC25-17A, Appendix 8, par. 4.1a]. An agreed upon corollary, testing a compartment with a non-metallic waste bin can substantiate a waste compartment with a metal waste bin (with the same or lesser volume).</td>
<td>Additionaly, installers may substitute a metallic container, of equal or less volume, in a compartment if the original design was tested using a nonmetallic container. AA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>PS Related</td>
<td>Thinner core panels substantiate thicker core panels (same materials) for the same</td>
<td>Scott / Tom (Boeing)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>application (sides, ceilings, etc).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>PS Related</td>
<td>Less skin plies substantiate more skin plies (same material) for the same</td>
<td>Scott / Tom (Boeing)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>application (sides, ceilings, etc).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>PS Related</td>
<td>Nomex and Kevlar core are interchangeable and can substantiate aluminum core.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>PS Related</td>
<td>Thinner aluminum skins substantiates thicker aluminum skins.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>PS Related</td>
<td>Waste door with edge cast can substantiate waste door with aluminum edge trim.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>PS Related</td>
<td>How to substantiate a change in panel skin adhesive films? What about structural joint adhesives? (no failures noted through mortise and Tennon joints.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>PS Related</td>
<td>All material substitutions related to fire containment must also pass a 45-degree test.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Purpose of the Task Group

Harmonize and publish industry and regulator accepted 25.853(h) Similarity requirements & MOCs for waste compartments and galley trolley carts.

Develop new MOCs as needed.

Discuss test set up and test parameters- harmonize as necessary.

Hope you can join us tomorrow!