

International Aircraft Materials Fire Test Working Group  
Minutes of Meeting  
September 24 and 25, 1991  
Trauen, Germany

The meeting opened with introductions of the 29 attendees (see attached list). The first item of discussion was the OSU. The following is a summary of that discussion:

Upper Pilot Outage: It was agreed by all that upper pilot outage for any extended time could drastically change HR values. It was also agreed that 3 seconds should remain as the maximum allowable outage time. Only Schneller and Boeing indicated that they have tested materials that cause a problem. A suggestion was raised to try a spark igniter on the upper pilot. Three labs agreed to conduct test with an ignition system. They were Schneller, Polyplastex, and Boeing.

Standard Panel: Data was presented that showed a comparison of various labs testing a panel supplied by the FAA Technical Center. It was agreed that each lab should have a repeatable sample to be used to check the ongoing performance of the testing. It was agreed that this should be included as a requirement in the test method. However no agreement could be reached on a reproducible sample that could be used to compare labs. A group was set up to study the problem and make recommendations. The group is chaired by Polyplastex and includes Boeing, Douglas Aircraft Co., Fokker, British Aerospace, and Jamco America.

OSU Smoke: It was agreed that unless there is a good correlation of data from the ongoing round robin, the present test program should be put on hold.

Other Items: It was suggested that terminology should be included in the OSU test method similar to the NBS method to determine the worst orientation of the sample. It was suggested that a note be included in the test method that it is to determine relative HR and not absolute. A discussion was held on eliminating the Bunsen Burner test for materials meeting the OSU and NBS requirements. It was agreed that although to date no one knew of a material used in an aircraft that would pass the OSU and NBS and fail the Bunsen Burner, it could not be ruled out. More thought should be given to limiting the need for the Bunsen Burner and the rationale that would be used.

Discussion of the NBS test method was very short. An update on the work to develop a quicker method of calibration by NIST was presented. The group had no other problems for discussion on the NBS.

Due to the absence of CEAT the discussion on the calibration of the Bunsen Burner using propane was postponed. All in attendance were in agreement that the test should be changed to require Methane only. However the exact purity of Methane (90 to 99%) was questioned.

A short discussion was held on the Meeker Burner RR. It was stated that the RR had not yet begun, and was still open

to participation.

A presentation was made on the full scale seat component tests conducted by the FAATC. Preliminary data showed little improvement. Another series of tests to be conducted was outlined.

Discussion of the Oil burner tests centered on the airflow RR. Some preliminary data was presented. The Technical center has received data from only one other lab to date (DLR). The temperature mapping and airflow data from the other participating labs is needed to proceed.

Comments from the group indicated that there is a need for more advisory information on the testing of cargo patches.

Three test methods concerning electrical wire and cable were discussed. They were testing for Smoke, arc tracking, and flammability. Data was presented from an ongoing RR measuring smoke in the NBS chamber. An attempt is being made to determine if one gauge wire can be used, as representative, for all testing. A short discussion was held concerning the Technical centers arc tracking test method. Members were requested to submit any ideas on improved flammability testing of wire and cable.

One member expressed his dismay that this group was being used to consider test methods for wire and cable since there were committees in organizations such as ASTM and SAE doing the same.

A number of members agreed that the 60 degree flammability test for wire and cable specified in Part 25, Appendix F of the FAR's is difficult to understand. The relevance of the 30 degree angle was questioned. It was agreed that the test method should be rewritten and this 30 degree angle eliminated if round robin testing showed it to be insignificant.

There was some discussion regarding the development of a new material test method for the 21st century. The group agreed that we should start to think about it now. Most thought a new test is needed, however a few felt that the OSU should be modified. Members were asked to think about it for discussion at the next meeting.

The FAATC Stated that they are committed to updating all test methods in the Fire Test Handbook. The updating may include modifications to the equipment and / or procedures to improve repeatability, reproducibility, or reliability. Changes may also be made to simplify and/or minimize testing as well as to upgrade the level of safety where needed. For all proposed changes, data will be generated that shows that the present level of safety will be maintained or raised. New test methods, such as those for electrical wiring will be documented using the same format employed in the handbook.

The target date for completion of the handbook modification and other recommendations on requirements is March of 1993. The modifications, data and recommendations produced by the group will be transmitted to the regulatory authorities for their use. The work may, or may not be used

in whole, or in part, as the basis of future regulatory action.

It was agreed that it was too short of notice to expect comments at this meeting. The FAATC will send out updated / modify test methods prior to the next meeting. In some cases questions may be raised or areas of concern expressed rather than actual modification to the test methods made. Comments and discussion are expected on each of the test methods at the next meeting.

The meeting was adjourned and a tour of the DLR facilities provided for those who remained. We thank DLR for all their efforts in hosting the meeting. Members had high praise for DLR, their facilities and personnel. Some members may still be recuperating from the Barbecue. Thanks DLR for a job well done!

List of participants

24. Oct. 91

NAME	COMPANY	FAX or PHONE
TERRY GIBSON	UK CAA	0293 573324
JIM PETERSON	BOEING SEATTLE	206 237 8243 / -0052
EDWARD S. CALPIN	FAA BRUSSELS, BELGIUM	{ 322 5133830 X2717 322 230 6899 FAX
KOHEI NAKAHARA	JAMCO CORPORATION	81-425-27-8097 FAX
HORISAN HORIGOME	JAMCO AMERICA INC	206 347-4735
John Tulfer	AARBE-FABRIKON B.V	31-13-354686 FAX
ADRIAN DE REGT	LANGENTHAL SWITZERLAND	41-63-232532 FAX
Richard Fiala	DLR	05055-59617 FAX
-Karl Düssol	DLR	05055-59617 FAX
MIKE JUDD	ESA/ESTEC. NOORDWIJK, NL	31-1719-83908 phone 31-1719-84992 fax
JOHN SYLVESTER	AVIONIC E.D.S.	US (0) 843 273313
BO DENNISH	RAYCHEM LTD	(44) 793 482124 phone (44) 793 482115 FAX
AS ALBERTSON	BRITISH AIRSPACE	44 61 955 3351.
BAC WOODFORD		44 61 955 30520 FAX
SARFRAZ A. SIDDIQUI	POLYPLASIZEX INT INC	Tel: 813-525-2173
HEINZ KRUSE	U.S.A.	Fax: 813-522-9069
Hans-Dieter BERG	DLR, Köln	Tel: 02203 601 2287
GIOVANNI MODUGNO	Deutsche Airbus	Fax: 02203 601 2353
Guenter Johannson	AVIOINTERIORS (ITALY)	Tel. 0421 538 2246
FAL LARNAHO	Röhm GmbH Darmstadt	Fax. 0421 538 4180
CLAUDE KURAS	Fokker (HOLLAND)	Tel ++39 773 438761
EDITH ANTONATUS	AEROSPATIALE	Fax ++39 773 438346
Heinz-Josef Reher	BASF AG	Tel -06151-18 44 49
ION LEEHIESK	MBB/ERNO Raumfahrttechnik Bremen	Fax -06151-18 45 87
	Fokker (HOLLAND)	Tel 22-605 3406
		Fax 22-605 2100
		Fax 33.1.4697.5535
		Phone 33.1.4697.3290
		Phone -621-6019387
		Fax -621-6022126
		0421 535 4301 (phone) / 4400 (fax)
		TEL 20-605 20 20
		FAX 20-605 3300

Name	Company	Fax or Phone
Wolfgang Lampa	Deutsche Airbus	intl - 421.538.3484
JEFF CHIAPPONE	SCHNELLER, INC.	PHONE: (216) 673-1400
Josef Schiller	BMW LR15	FAX: (216) 673-7327
Beth Simons	Douglas Aircraft Company	Tel. 0228-300-7853
PAT CAHILL	F.A.A	Phone: (213) 593-7306
		FAX: (213) 593-7593
		(609) 484-6571

International Aircraft Materials Fire Test Working Group  
Minutes of Meeting  
September 24 and 25, 1991  
Trauen, Germany

The meeting opened with introductions of the 29 attendees (see attached list). The first item of discussion was the OSU. The following is a summary of that discussion:

Upper Pilot Outage: It was agreed by all that upper pilot outage for any extended time could drastically change HR values. It was also agreed that 3 seconds should remain as the maximum allowable outage time. Only Schneller and Boeing indicated that they have tested materials that cause a problem. A suggestion was raised to try a spark igniter on the upper pilot. Three labs agreed to conduct test with an ignition system. They were Schneller, Polyplastex, and Boeing.

Standard Panel: Data was presented that showed a comparison of various labs testing a panel supplied by the FAA Technical Center. It was agreed that each lab should have a repeatable sample to be used to check the ongoing performance of the testing. It was agreed that this should be included as a requirement in the test method. However no agreement could be reached on a reproducible sample that could be used to compare labs. A group was set up to study the problem and make recommendations. The group is chaired by Polyplastex and includes Boeing, Douglas Aircraft Co., Fokker, British Aerospace, and Jamco America.

OSU Smoke: It was agreed that unless there is a good correlation of data from the ongoing round robin, the present test program should be put on hold.

Other Items: It was suggested that terminology should be included in the OSU test method similar to the NBS method to determine the worst orientation of the sample. It was suggested that a note be included in the test method that it is to determine relative HR and not absolute. A discussion was held on eliminating the Bunsen Burner test for materials meeting the OSU and NBS requirements. It was agreed that although to date no one knew of a material used in an aircraft that would pass the OSU and NBS and fail the Bunsen Burner, it could not be ruled out. More thought should be given to limiting the need for the Bunsen Burner and the rationale that would be used.

Discussion of the NBS test method was very short. An update on the work to develop a quicker method of calibration by NIST was presented. The group had no other problems for discussion on the NBS.

Due to the absence of CEAT the discussion on the calibration of the Bunsen Burner using propane was postponed. All in attendance were in agreement that the test should be changed to require Methane only. However the exact purity of Methane (90 to 99%) was questioned.

A short discussion was held on the Meeker Burner RR. It was stated that the RR had not yet begun, and was still open

to participation.

A presentation was made on the full scale seat component tests conducted by the FAATC. Preliminary data showed little improvement. Another series of tests to be conducted was outlined.

Discussion of the Oil burner tests centered on the airflow RR. Some preliminary data was presented. The Technical center has received data from only one other lab to date (DLR). The temperature mapping and airflow data from the other participating labs is needed to proceed.

Comments from the group indicated that there is a need for more advisory information on the testing of cargo patches.

Three test methods concerning electrical wire and cable were discussed. They were testing for Smoke, arc tracking, and flammability. Data was presented from an ongoing RR measuring smoke in the NBS chamber. An attempt is being made to determine if one gauge wire can be used, as representative, for all testing. A short discussion was held concerning the Technical centers arc tracking test method. Members were requested to submit any ideas on improved flammability testing of wire and cable.

One member expressed his dismay that this group was being used to consider test methods for wire and cable since there were committees in organizations such as ASTM and SAE doing the same.

A number of members agreed that the 60 degree flammability test for wire and cable specified in Part 25, Appendix F of the FAR's is difficult to understand. The relevance of the 30 degree angle was questioned. It was agreed that the test method should be rewritten and this 30 degree angle eliminated if round robin testing showed it to be insignificant.

There was some discussion regarding the development of a new material test method for the 21st century. The group agreed that we should start to think about it now. Most thought a new test is needed, however a few felt that the OSU should be modified. Members were asked to think about it for discussion at the next meeting.

The FAATC Stated that they are committed to updating all test methods in the Fire Test Handbook. The updating may include modifications to the equipment and / or procedures to improve repeatability, reproducibility, or reliability. Changes may also be made to simplify and/or minimize testing as well as to upgrade the level of safety where needed. For all proposed changes, data will be generated that shows that the present level of safety will be maintained or raised. New test methods, such as those for electrical wiring will be documented using the same format employed in the handbook.

The target date for completion of the handbook modification and other recommendations on requirements is March of 1993. The modifications, data and recommendations produced by the group will be transmitted to the regulatory authorities for their use. The work may, or may not be used

in whole, or in part, as the basis of future regulatory action.

It was agreed that it was too short of notice to expect comments at this meeting. The FAATC will send out updated / modify test methods prior to the next meeting. In some cases questions may be raised or areas of concern expressed rather than actual modification to the test methods made. Comments and discussion are expected on each of the test methods at the next meeting.

The meeting was adjourned and a tour of the DLR facilities provided for those who remained. We thank DLR for all their efforts in hosting the meeting. Members had high praise for DLR, their facilities and personnel. Some members may still be recuperating from the Barbecue. Thanks DLR for a job well done!

FAA - MEETING, DLK TRAVEL  
 List of participants 24 Oct 91

NAME	COMPANY	FAX or PHONE
TERRY GIBSON	UK CAA	0293 573324
JIM PETERSON	BOEING SEATTLE	206 237 8243 / -0052
EDWARD S. CHALPIN	FAA BRUSSELS, BELGIUM	{ 322 51 33830 X2717 322 230 6899 FAX
KOHEI NAKAHARA	JAMCO CORPORATION	81-425-27-8097 FAX
HORISAN HORIGOME	JAMCO-AMERICA INC	206-347-4735
John Tulfen	AARBE-FABRIKON B.V	31-13-354686 FA
ADRIAN DE REGT	LANGENTHAL SWITZERLAND	41-63-232532 FAX
Richard Fiala	DLR	05055-59617 FAX
Karl Düssli	DLR	05055-59617 FAX
MIKE JUDD	ESA/ESTEC. NOORDWIJK, NL	31-1719-83908 phone 31-1719-84992 Fax
JOEL SYLVESTER	AVIONIC E.D.S.	UK (0) 843 273313
BOB DENNISH	RAYCHEM LTD	(44) 793 482124 phone (44) 793 482115 FAX
A S ALLARTON	BRITISH AIRCRAFT	44 61 955 3351.
BALD CROOKFORD		44 61 955 3020 FAX
SARFRAZ A SIDDIQUI	POLYPLASTEX INT INC U.S.A.	Tel: 813-525-2173 Fax: 813-522-9069
HEINZ KRUSE	DLR, Köln	Tel: 02203 601 2221 Fax: 02203 601 2353
Hans-Dieter BERG	Deutsche Airbus	Tel. 0421 538 2246 FAX. 0421 538 4180
GIOVANNI MODUGNO	AVIOINTERIORS (ITALY)	Tel +39 773 438761 Fax +39 773 438346
Guenter Johannson	Röhm GmbH Darmstadt	Tel 06151-18 44 49 Fax 06151-18 45 87
FALDI ARNAUD	FOKKER (HOLLAND)	Tel 20-605 3406 Fax 20-605 2100
CLAUDE KURAS	AEROSPATIALE	Fax 33.1.4697.5535
EDITH ANTONATUS	3 BASF AG	Phone 33.1.4697.3290
Heinz-Joachim Reher	MBB/ERNO Raumfahrttechnik Bremen	Phone -621-6049387 Fax -621-6022126
TOM GREENBERG	Fokker (HOLLAND)	0421 / 533 4301 (pland) / 4400
		TEL 20-605 2020 FAX 20-605 3300

Name	Company	Fax or Phone
Wolfgang Lampa	Deutsche Airbus	intl - 421.538.3484
JEFF CHIAPPONE	SCHNELLER, INC.	PHONE: (216) 673-1400 FAX: (216) 673-7327
Josef Schiller	BMV LR15	Tel. 0228-300 7853
Beth Simons	Douglas Aircraft Company	Phone: (213) 593-7306
PAT CAHILL	F.A.A	FAX: (213) 593-7593 (609) 484-6571