

EASA update on rulemaking and research on lithium batteries

Enzo Canari Cabin Safety Expert EASA Certification Directorate

INTERNATIONAL AIRCRAFT MATERIALS & SYSTEMS FORUM MEETING 24 September 2024



An Agency of the European Union



\rightarrow EASA Rulemaking

 \rightarrow PED battery fire on the flight deck

\rightarrow EASA Research

 \rightarrow LOKI-PED





PED battery fire on the flight deck



An Agency of the European Union

easa.europa.eu/connect



PED battery fire on the flight deck

- → Continuing Airworthiness (CAW) activities:
 - → In May 2018 EASA issued a Continuing Airworthiness Review Item (ref. CARI 25-09) to request TCHs to assess the hazard associated to a lithium battery fire on the flight deck
 - → The CARI identifies a minimum set of measures necessary to address the hazard
- → Initial Airworthiness (IAW) activities:
 - → In December 2021 EASA published proposed special conditions to address the safety concern highlighted in the CARI for new design certification project
 - → On 26 April 2022 EASA published the final Special Condition <u>M-TS-0000419</u> (Past Ref. SC-G25.1585-01) and the related CRD
- → SIB addressed to operators:
 - → On 12 October 2022 EASA published <u>SIB 2022-08</u> including recommendations based on the special conditions
 - \rightarrow The SIB was shared with other Aviation Authorities before pubblication



PED battery fire on the flight deck

- → EASA will release a Certification Memorandum to communicate all the IAW and CAW activities performed since the release of CARI 25-09
- → The CM should have the following minimum content:
 - → Background for CARI 25-09
 - → Definition of the intent of Special Condition M-TS-0000419 (Past Ref. SC-G25.1585-01) and of the criteria for the applicability of the special condition to certification projects
 - → Classification of design changes affecting the level of mitigation of flight deck fires originating from lithium batteries that are not part of the aircraft design
 - → Specific guidance applicable to EFB mounts, addressing also already certified EFB mounts installations (ref. SIB 2022-08)
- → Target for publication of the Proposed CM: Q1 2025



CARI 25-09 : main findings

- → Unambiguous information on safe stowage locations available on the flight deck should be provided to operators (through placards and training material).
- → Donning fire gloves is essential to safely handle PEDs:
 - \rightarrow Not always available on the flight deck or in its proximity
 - → Minimum performance standard for fire gloves should be specified
- → Use of fire containment bags not acceptable for fire fighting
- → Fire Containment Bags may be used as PED stowage facilities, if adequate fire containment performance is demonstrated.



Fire containment bags

- → FCBs may be used by TC holders as stowage means on the flight deck, if adequate performance is demonstrated.
- → Fire containment should be demonstrated against a standard test method (e.g. UL5800).
- \rightarrow UL5800 needs improvement.
- → Relocation of the bag to another compartment (e.g. a lavatory) is essential to address smoke released by the PED during the thermal runaway event
- → Fire containment performance significantly depends on the strict application of the instructions for closure of the bag.



Considerations on UL5800

- → Lack of definition of the configuration of the artificial battery fire source:
 - → Orientation of the 18650 cells with respect to critical features in the construction of the box (holes, joints, etc.).
 - → Orientation of the artificial battery fire source with respect to critical features of the fire containment bag.
- \rightarrow How to achieve airtightness of the box







- → Release of the CM on PED battery fire on the flight deck
- → Make progress in the definition of a standard for FCBs addressing PEDs handling and battery fire containment: on-going EASA research project <u>LOKI-PED</u>
- \rightarrow Revision of UL5800
- → Definition of a minimum performance standards for fire gloves





EASA Research



An Agency of the European Union

easa.europa.eu/connect



EASA research

LOKI-PED

Research Project details

- Contracting Authority: EASA
- Project Leader: Fraunhofer Gesellschaft
- € 800,000
- 08/2022 > 07/2025



This project will be funded from the European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation programme.

At Frauenhofer Gesellschaft

Project manager: Simon Holz, **simon.holz@emi.fraunhofer.de** Technical lead: Victor Norrefeld, **victor.norrefeldt@ibp.fraunhofer.de**

Consortium members

Airbus

At EASA

Project manager: Simone Schwerdorf, **simone.schwerdorf@easa.europa.eu** Technical lead: Lia Calleja Barcena, **lia.calleja-barcena@easa.europa.eu** Technical expert: Enzo Canari, **enzo.canari@easa.europa.eu**

𝔄 LOKI-PED Project



LOKI-PED: Lithium Batteries Fire/Smoke Risks in Cabin Overview

Sponsor: European Union Aviation Safety Agency EASA

Partners

- Fraunhofer Institute for Highspeed Dynamics, Ernst-Mach-Institute, EMI
- Fraunhofer Institute for Building Physics
- Airbus Operations GmbH & Airbus SAS

including 20 experts, researcher and technicians.

Focus

- Cabin and cockpit
- Not cargo nor checked luggage

Tasks

LOKI-PED

- Characterization of the main hazards posed by PEDs
- Consequences of fire and smoke in cockpit and cabin
- Risk assessment regarding number and energy content of PEDs
- Assessment of emergency procedures
- Assessment of additional mitigation measures
- Identification of gaps in the regulatory provisions

Duration: 01/2023 – 06/2025







(https://loki-ped.de)





Experimental Approach – PED and battery abuse characterization Battery Test Center – Fraunhofer EMI

	Battery Test Center	
	08/2023 & 06/2024	
	TR and source characterization	
VP 1	Realization: Laptops, Tablets, Smartphones, Power	
	tool batteries are triggered by heating foils	
	Containment capability of bags	
	Cooling capability of extinguishers	
	<i>Realization:</i> Laptop (100Wh, 9 cylindrical cells)	
	Selection of bags and extinguishers by working principle	
NP 6	Diagnostics:	
	- gas volume (source)	
	- gas composition (toxicity)	

- temperature on bag (handling)







Experimental Approach – PED and battery abuse characterization Battery Test Center – Fraunhofer EMI









Seite 15

Exemplary test results Battery Test Center – Fraunhofer EMI

Smoke hazard



Fire hazard



Post test inspection







fire V01 Laptop, 100Wh, 9cylCells, LCO fire V02 Laptop, 100Wh, 9cylCells, LCO fire V03 Laptop, 100Wh, 9cylCells, LCO smoke V04 Laptop, 99Wh, 6pouchCells, LFP smoke V05 Laptop, 99Wh, 6pouchCells, LFP smoke V06 Laptop, 99Wh, 6pouchCells, LFP fire V07 Laptop, 96Wh, 6prismCells, ??? smoke V08 Laptop, 96Wh, 6prismCells, ??? smoke V09 Laptop, 96Wh, 6prismCells, ??? smoke V10 Laptop, 41Wh, 3prismCells, NMC smoke V11 Laptop, 41Wh, 3prismCells, NMC smoke V12 Laptop, 41Wh, 3prismCells, NMC fire V13 Laptop, 58Wh, 6cylCells, NMC fire V14 Laptop, 58Wh, 6cylCells, NMC smoke V15 Laptop, 58Wh, 6cylCells, NMC fire V16 Laptop, 94Wh, 9cylCells, LCO fire V17 Laptop, 94Wh, 9cylCells, LCO fire V18 Laptop, 94Wh, 9cylCells, LCO smoke V19 Phone, 13Wh, 1pouchCell, ??? smoke V20 Phone, 13Wh, 1pouchCell, ??? smoke V21 Phone, 13Wh, 1pouchCell, ??? smoke V22 Tablet, 43Wh, 2pouchCells, ??? smoke V23 Tablet, 43Wh, 2pouchCells, ??? smoke V24 Tablet, 43Wh, 2pouchCells, ??? fire V25 Tool, 99Wh, 10cylCells, NMC fire V26 Tool, 99Wh, 10cylCells, NMC

PED abuse

Battery Test Center





Experimental Approach – PED fire in single aisle cabin

A320 mockup 06/2024 & 09/2024

TR and source characterization in cabin Scenarios: on floor, on/in/under seat/pocket, overhead bin Realization: PEDs triggered by heating. Realistic air flow pattern and geometry including aircraft seats.

Handling of fire and heat from PEDs
Worst case scenario: laptop (100Wh) in TR on
seat, in seat-back pocket, in overhead bin
Realization: PEDs triggered by heating. Person in
PSE will handle the PEDs with containment bags
and extinguishers.



A320 Mockup, Fraunhofer EMI & IBP





DSAC: Treatment of a thermal runaway in cabin with 1 flight attendant on youtube.com





WP 2

WP 6

Experimental Approach – Smoke spread and handling in wide-body cabin

	Flight Test Facility 06/2024 & 03/2025	
WP 2	Smoke spread in cabin as reference for simulations	Flight Test Facility, Fraunhofer IBP
WP 5	Influence of air ventilation on smoke spread Where to place the PED during/after TR w/o bag, gloves	Fraunhofet BR B B BR B B BR B B BR B B B B B B B B
WP 6	Handling of smoke emitted from PEDs by crew in real cabin with bags, extinguishers and personal protective equipment like gloves and smoke hoods	







INTERNATIONAL AIRCRAFT MATERIALS & SYSTEMS FORUM MEETING, 2024, Atlantic City Simon Holz, Victor Norrefeldt

Lithium batteries in pOrtable electronic devices risK of flre and smoke



www.loki-ped.de

WP 2.2 A320 mockup test Test setup



9 Tests without human intervention at **4 positions** with realistic ventilation conditions and PED in thermal runaway







WP 2.2 A320 mockup test V31









WP 2.2 A320 mockup test V31









WP 2.2 A320 mockup test V31 – Video









WP 2.2 A320 mockup test

Smoke spread in single aisle cabin without human intervention









Cabin fire

WP 2.2 A320 mockup test V34









Full-scale cabin test conduct

Test setup Cabin:

72 Dummies, 75W each Use of theatre smoke and tracergas

- Visual spread of gas
- Measured spread of gas Variations
- Ventilation scenarios
 - Normal flow per PAX: 0.55 lb./min. fresh
 - Flow, incl. Recirculation: +50% recirc
 - Normal flow with gaspers
- Emission locations
 - Below seat 4F & 4H
 - Overhead locker closed 4H, short intermediate small opening (simulate extinguisher discharge) and closing
 - Newspaper holder 4F & 4H
 - Galley
 - Cockpit

<section-header>

12



2007-12-11 11:50:54 e=0.92

Camera





Full-scale cabin test conduct – ventilation flow pattern



Ventilation flow rates:

- Cabin Fresh: ~900 m³/h \rightarrow 3.5 l/s for 72 passengers
- Cabin Recirc: 0 or ~900 m³/h (50%)
- Cockpit Fresh: ~500 m³/h

Occupancy

- 72 heated dummies in cabin
- 2 heated dummies in cockpit











Test conduct and data evaluation



- 1. CO2-dosing through artificial laptop: 2x90s, Total 70l
- 2. Continuous measurement of local concentration profile
- 3. Determination of concentration peak and integrated exposure
- 4. Generation of 2D-plots with color scale, comparison plots







800

700

000

500 400 300

Concentration



4G Emission



After 90s Smoke emission





120s (30s after stop of smoke)

31.7.24 12:47, Emission 4F below seat





Emission locations in cabin



4F below seat

4F newspaper holder



Seat 3F

Seat 4G

Seat 4F



4H below seat



4H newspaper holder









Obs for cabin emission tests



Elevated concentrations found 1-2 seats away from source

With / without recirc does not show major overall difference for cabin exposure

Without recirc double exposure in galley \rightarrow unventilated in test

- Side flow paths may overflow to galley
- Recirc draws air downwards from cabin → effect weakened when disactivating recirc

Gaspers show to redirect the peak (4HK lowered, 4E increased)









Cockpit and Galley Emissions





Cockpit middle



Galley













Conclusion Cockpit and Galley emission results

Compared to cabin, the emission test in cockpit and galley shows higher CO2-concentrations

With / without recirc shows to alter flow balance of galley flowing towards cabin / cockpit \rightarrow to be considered for full-size aircraft to avoid overflow to cockpit

Emission in cockpit shows only low impact on cabin (with and without recirc)









FTF

Wide-body

Test data usage way forward







PEDs to be tested

Status



- 24 refurbished laptops
- New batteries: 11 on site, 13 delivered end of August
 - One order
 - Two shipments with different battery chemistry (new, new 2)



# Test	Battery cells	Status
1 Characterization 1	9 cells 97 Wh	new
2 Characterization 2	9 cells 97 Wh	new
3 Bag 1	9 cells 97 Wh	new
4 Bag 2	9 cells 97 Wh	new
5 Bag 3	9 cells 97 Wh	new
6 Bag 4	9 cells 97 Wh	new
7 Bag 5	9 cells 97 Wh	new
8 Bag 6	9 cells 97 Wh	new
9 Bag 7	9 cells 97 Wh	new
10 Bag 8	9 cells 97 Wh	new
11 Characterization 3	9 cells 99 Wh	used
12 Extinguisher 1	9 cells 99 Wh	used
13 Extinguisher 2	9 cells 99 Wh	used
14 Extinguisher 3	9 cells 99 Wh	used
15 A320 w/o intervention 1	9 cells 99 Wh	used
16 A320 w/o intervention 2	9 cells 99 Wh	used
17 A320 w/o intervention 3	9 cells 99 Wh	used
18 A320 w/o intervention 4	9 cells 99 Wh	used
19 A320 w/o intervention 5	9 cells 99 Wh	used
20 Characterization 4	6 cells 66 Wh	used
21 A320 w/o intervention 6	9 cells 97 Wh	new 2
22 A320 w/o intervention 7	9 cells 97 Wh	new 2
23 A320 w/o intervention 8	9 cells 97 Wh	new 2
24 A320 w/o intervention 9	9 cells 97 Wh	new 2





Cool down capability of extinguishers











Cool down capability of extinguishers





Cool down capability of extinguishers Summary

Tested extinguishers

- Manufactures from Europe and US
- 3 of 3 especially for lithium battery fires
- 3 of 3 do not contain halon
- 3 of 3 commercially available
- 2 of 3 in service on aircraft

Test conditions

- Laptop with 9 cylindrical cells (100 Wh)
- One cell heated to enforce thermal runaway
- Ext. In operation when flames are observed until it is empty
- Ext are empty after 15s to 45s

Findings

- 1 of 3 supressed thermal propagation
- 3 of 3 suppressed flames during operation

Temporal characteristics

- Duration of operation varies
- Multiple events possible
- 2 to 5min from first to next observed



Cooling

Battery Test Center





Containment bag example – post test









Containment capability of bags

Summary

Tested bags

- Manufactures from Europe and US
- 2 of 8 bags in early design stage
- 6 of 8 commercially available
- 3 of 8 in service on aircraft

Test conditions

- Laptop with 9 cylindrical cells (100 Wh) packed in bag
- One cell heated to enforce thermal runaway
- Adopted from UL 5800 standard

Hazards observed

- Thermal propagation from cell to cell: 8 of 8
- Venting of smoke: 8 of 8
- Venting of hot particles: 8 of 8
- Venting of flames: 5 of 8
- Opening of bag closure: 2 of 8
- Destruction of bag: 2 of 8

Temporal characteristics

- Duration highly variable
- Multiple events possible
- 1h from first to last event observed

Contaiment









Upcoming tests in Flight Test Facility Fraunhofer IBP, Holzkirchen, Germany

Realistic ventilation and scenarios

Topics

- Influence of air ventilation on smoke spread
- Emergency procedures
- Handling of containment bags using artificial PEDs





Cabin with heating dummies in FTF





Artificial PED in action



Cockpit of Flight Test Facility



Cabin of Flight Test Facility







Upcoming dissemination events

• 01. - 03.10.2024 IATA World Safety and Operations Conference 2024, Marrakech, Marocco

Dangerous Goods Expert Group Meeting, Cologne, Germany

- 21. 25.10.2024 ICAO Dangerous Goods Panel, Montreal, Canada
- 22. 24.10.2024 SAE-S9 Cabin Safety Working Group, Oklahoma City, US
- 10.01.2025 AIAA SciTech Forum, Orlando, US
- 15.01.2025





AIRBUS



www.loki-ped.de

Simon Holz – Manager LOKI-PED project simon.holz@emi.fraunhofer.de www.loki-ped.de Fraunhofer Institute for High-Speed Dynamics, Ernst-Mach-Institut, EMI Ernst-Zermelo-Straße 4, 79104 Freiburg, Germany www.emi.fraunhofer.de



Any Questions ?



Your safety is our mission.

An Agency of the European Union

easa.europa.eu/connect

