Review of Class E Cargo Compartments and Shipping Containers Early Detection and Fire Suppression

Presented to: Ninth

Ninth Triennial International Aircraft Fire and Cabin Safety Research Conference

By: Matthew Karp

Date: October, 2019



Federal Aviation Administration

Overview

- Section 1 Background
- Section 2 Detection
- Section 3 Suppression
- •Section 4 Future Work





Section 1 Background

- •Multiple freighter fire accidents
 - Loss of lives
 - •Loss of aircraft and cargo

•Led to NTSB recommendations regarding freighter detection and suppression





Section 2 Detection

•How cargo containers impact the airflow around the detection system

- •Containment of smoke from a fire inside a container
- •Options for container smoke detection systems



•Standardize system performance requirements for the early detection of fires originating within cargo containers



•FAA report on detection time of similar fire loads in either an empty or fully loaded cargo compartment

•Fire initiated outside of containers

•Concluded the smoke detectors sounded quicker in loaded compartments than in empty compartments



Figure 7. B-727 Empty and Full Test Results

•DOT/FAA/AR-09/52 Effects of Cargo Loading and Active Containers on Aircraft Cargo Compartment Smoke Detection Times December 2009



- •NTSB report on the detection of fires originating within cargo containers and pallets
- •Significant time delay from start of the fire inside the container to detection outside
- •The growth of the fires after they become detectable can be extremely fast
- •Concludes that significant damage to an aircraft can occur shortly after becoming a detectable fire



•NTSB Burning characteristics of aircraft cargo containment fires September 10, 2010



•Ongoing FAA/UMD research on emerging detection technology

Aspirating smoke detection (ASD)

•Wireless detection

•Smoke detection delay





•The Scalability of Smoke Density and the Viability of New Detection Methods in Aircrafts 20019



•FedEx Fire Suppression System

•Network of infrared thermal sensors

•Pinpoint location of fire



•FedEx Fire Suppression System August 2, 2007

•FedEx's Fire Suppression System ICAO



Federal Aviation Administration

Summary - Detection

•Shipping containers delay smoke detection

•Detection delay allows for rapid fire growth

•Potentially becomes a substantial threat

•There are currently no standardized system performance requirements for the early detection of fires originating within cargo containers





Section 3 Suppression

Depressurization

•Recommendation to require that fire suppression systems be installed in the cargo compartment of all cargo compartments or containers

•Containment

and/or

•Passive/Active fire suppression

Cost benefit analysis





•FAA report on the effectiveness of depressurization for suppression

•Depressurization did not prevent flashover of class A fire loads

•Cargo Fire Suppression by Depressurization Presentation October 2010



Atlantic City International Airport New Jersey 08405

DOT/FAA/TC-17/39

Enderal Aviation Administration

William J. Hughes Technical Center Aviation Research Division

Cargo Fire Suppression by Depressurization

November 2017

Final Report

This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Services (NTIS), Springfield, Virginia 22161.

This document is also available from the Federal Aviation Administration William J. Hughes Technical Center at actlibrary.tc.faa.gov.

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Aviation Administration

•FedEx Fire Suppression System

•Remote Puncture Mechanism

•Provides a method to apply agent to the inside of a container with no preflight attachment

•Cargo Foam®

•Air

•N2

•Argon

Combination

•FedEx Fire Suppression System August 2, 2007

•FedEx's Fire Suppression System ICAO





Relevant Work - Suppression

•FAA presentation on suppression agents ability to penetrate shipping containers when released outside

•Very little suppression agent penetrates inside ULDs



•Class C Cargo Compartment ULD Suppression Agent Penetration October 2015



•FAA presentation on Class E cargo compartment mitigation strategies subjected to Class-A fires

•Oxygen starvation tests showed the Fire Containment Cover (FCC) and Fire Resistant Container (FRC) to be capable of suppressing a class-A fire for over 4 hours



•Class-E Cargo Compartment Fire Mitigation Strategies Subjected to Lithium Battery Fires October 2016

- •FAA presentation on Class E cargo compartment mitigation strategies subjected to lithium battery fires
- •FCC and FRC were unable to contain bulk shipments of lithium metal or lithium-ion batteries
- •Effective April 1 2016, ICAO banned shipment of lithium-ion batteries as cargo on passenger aircraft

•Class-E Cargo Compartment Fire Mitigation Strategies Subjected to Lithium Battery Fires October 2016

•A cost-benefit analysis for the installation of fire suppression systems in cargo compartments of cargo planes is conducted

•Halon and likely alternative fire suppression systems are unlikely to be cost beneficial for the main deck cargo compartments of cargo aircraft of any weight category

•However, other fire suppression systems may prove to be cost beneficial

Weight Category	Average Benefit per Aircraft per Year	Total Cost per Aircraft per Year	Cost Benefit Ratio
В	NEGLIGIBLE	\$50,791	N/A
С	\$640	\$156,053	244
D	\$4,100	\$290,182	71
E	\$19,900	\$446,008	22

•DOT/FAA/AR-09/17 A Cost-Benefit Analysis for the Installation of Fire Suppression Systems in Cargo Compartments of Cargo Airplanes April 2009

Summary - Suppression

- •Guidelines of depressurization for Class-E cargo compartments is ineffective
- •Fire suppression of cargo compartments and shipping containers is multifaceted and complex
 - Containment
 - Active/passive fire suppression systems
 - •Fire load
 - Class-A
 - Hazardous materials

Future Work

- •Survey airframe manufacturers and cargo operators on currently applied methods for detection and suppression in cargo compartments and containers
- •Consider creating task groups for:
 - •Early Detection of Fires in Shipping Containers
 - •Suppression in Class E Cargo Compartments and Shipping Containers

References

- •NTSB A-07-97 through 103 December 17, 2007
- •DOT/FAA/AR-09/52 Effects of Cargo Loading and Active Containers on Aircraft Cargo Compartment Smoke Detection Times_ December 2009
- •A-07-098 Dialogue •NTSB Burning characteristics of aircraft cargo containment fires September 10, 2010 •14 CFR 25.858 Cargo Fire Suppression by Depressurization Presentation October 2010 •DOT/FAA/AR-09/17 A Cost-Benefit Analysis for the Installation of Fire Suppression Systems in Carao Compartments of Carao Airolanes April 2009 •A-07-99 Dialogue Class E Cargo Compartment Fire Suppression November 17-18, 2009 •FedEx Fire Suppression System August 2, 2007 •ULD Fire Suppression Certification May 11, 2011 •NTSB A-12-68 Through -70 November 28, 2012 •The Scalability of Smoke Density and the Viability of New Detection Methods in Aircrafts 2019 •A-12-068 Dialogue •Class-E Cargo Compartment Fire Mitigation Strategies Subjected to Lithium Battery Fires October 2016 •FAA Update at the SAE-AGE2 Fire Containment Covers & Fire Resistant Containers May 2019 The Use of ULD Contained Suppression for Hazardous Air Cargo - Firetrace International May 2012 •Class C Cargo Compartment ULD Suppression Agent Penetration October 2015
- •<u>A-12-069 Dialogue</u>

Contact Information

- Matthew Karp
- Research Engineer
- FAA ANG-E211 Systems Fire Protection
- Phone: 609-485-4538
- -Email: Matthew.Karp@FAA.gov

