Propulsion Halon Replacement Activity at the FAA WJ Hughes Technical Center



Federal Aviation Administration

Presented to:

^{D:} 6TH Triennial International Fire and Cabin Safety Research Conference

By:

Douglas Ingerson, Engineer Federal Aviation Administration WJ Hughes Technical Center Fire Safety Team Atlantic City Int'l Airport, NJ USA tel : 609-485-4945 email : Douglas.A.Ingerson@faa.gov

Date: 28Oct2010

Presentation Overview

Situational Review

- The "FAA Certification" Test
- Trend of the Current Halon-replacing Candidates

Review Minimum Performance Standard Activity

- Ownership
- Brief History
- Descriptions of the Test Standards
- Some Test Observations

Brief Comments Regarding Current Test Activity



Situational Review The "FAA Certification" Test

• Verifying a Fire Extinguishing Agent :

- Acceptably envelopes a compartment during critical operating conditions
- Can extinguish a fire at an unknown location within

Performance Assessment

- The typical concentration measurement system :
 - is compatible with gaseous agents
 - samples in the compartment at 12 or 24 points
- Agent is discharged into the compartment for "critical operating conditions"
 - Agent storage
 - Compartment ventilation rate
- No accidental combustion is present



Situational Review Trends in the Current Candidates

- The Current Halon-replacing Candidates are Becoming Less "Energetic" Substances, as Indicated by Reductions in Vapor Pressure Behaviors
- Current Candidates are :
 - disconnecting from "total flood" & tending towards "streaming" behaviors
 - providing non-gaseous design challenges (measurement & function)



Situational Review Trends in the Current Candidates

halon 1301 parametersnormal boiling point $= -58^{\circ}C / 215 \text{ K}$ vapor pressure @ 25^C= 1619 kPaflame extinction concentrations,= 3.2 % v/vpeak inerting, propane= 6.1 % v/v





Review MPS Activity Title...

"Minimum Performance Standard for Halon 1301 Replacement in Civil Aircraft Engine Nacelle and Auxiliary Power Unit Compartments"

====>>> also known as an MPS, the MPSe or MPSHRe...



Review MPS Activity Ownership

• Who "owns" the MPSHRe?

- FAA International Aircraft Systems Fire Protection Working Group
- Composed of government and industry representatives
 - International
 - Manufacturer, regulator
 - Civilian, military



Review MPS Activity Brief History

• MPSHRe rev03

- Publicly available Sep 2006; terminated Oct 2008
- Testing accomplished with :
 - HFC-125, 2003-2004
 - CF₃I, 2003, 2004, & 2006
 - FK-5-1-12, 2006
 - solid aerosol, 2007-2008

• MPSHRe rev04⁽¹⁾

- Publicly available Mar 2010
- Testing underway with solid aerosol, 2010 (incomplete)



Commonality of MPSHRe revs 03 & 04

- Non-fire Testing Must be Acceptably Completed
 - Compatibility with the airframe
 - Structure and components
 - Flight envelope
 - Acceptable shelf-life
 - Warehouse
 - In-service life on aircraft
 - Acceptable safety
 - Compatibility with life
 - Maintained flight safety



- Test Process
 - Compares to halon 1301 performance for known conditions
 - Places representative fire threats against agent concentration distribution
 - Metric is the "Reignition Time Delay" (RTD)
 - Visual determination
 - Each test requires persistent ignition & fuel presences during agent migration
 - RTD starts when fire "extinguishes" and ends when fire "reignites"



- Generic Test Environment
 - Generic steel test fixture
 - Forcibly ventilated
 - Supports :
 - Differing ventilation conditions
 - Two fire threats
 - Replacement candidate injection upstream of the fire threats



- Generic Test Environment (continued)
 - Test Fixture
 - Test fixture is :
 - » a flow pathway composed of the inlet, approach duct, test section, and exhaust duct
 - » instrumented with a collection of thermocouples, pressure transducers, hot-wire anemometers, and video cameras
 - Test section has an annular/circular working cross-section
 - » 1.2 m outside diameter (OD) shell x 0.61 m OD "core" x 3.1 m long
 - » Core & shell ~ 6 mm thick steel
 - » Both ends have conical sections which transition to adjacent ducting
 - Test section contains the fire threats & receives an agent pulse resulting from upstream injection







TEST SECTION DIMENSIONS 48 INCH (1.22 m) OD SHELL 24 INCH (0.61 m) OD CORE ~ 10.25 FEET (3.12 m) LONG



6TH Triennial Fire and Cabin Safety Research Conference 28Oct2010



Federal Aviation Administration

- Generic Test Environment (continued)
 - Test Environment
 - Two ventilation regimes
 - » "High" = 1.2 kg/s @ 38°C (2.7 lbm/s @ T = 100°F)
 - » "Low" = 0.45 kg/s @ 127°C (1.0 lbm/s @ T= 250°F)
 - Two fire threats
 - » Both are baffle-stabilized
 - » Both have persistent ignition & fuel sources
 - » Spray fire using JP8, lubricating oil OR hydraulic fluid
 - » Fuel sprays delivered 0.95L/min & 66°C (0.25 US gal/min & 150°F)
 - » Pool fire using JP8 alone





6TH Triennial Fire and Cabin Safety Research Conference 28Oct2010





6TH Triennial Fire and Cabin Safety Research Conference 28Oct2010



- Generic Test Environment (continued)
 - Test Environment (continued)
 - Assessment thresholds based on halon 1301 performance
 - » Halon 1301 stored at 38°C (100°F)
 - » Delivery met intent of FAA certification, 6%v/v for 0.5 sec
 - » Not a complete, structurally-bound, design volume













• MPSHRe Revision 03

- Empirical Basis
 - Equivalent concentrations (recommendations) derived from "RTD versus localized agent concentration distribution"
 - Concentration distributions were measured for ventilation flows without fire
 - Recommendations :
 - HFC-125 : 17.6 %v/v
 - $CF_3 I$: 7.1 %v/v
 - FK-5-1-12 : 6.1 %v/v



• MPSHRe Revision 03 (continued)

- MPSHRe rev03 terminated Oct 2008 (review slide #5)
 - Trend of MPSHRe rev03 outcomes vary for FK-5-1-12 as compared to ratio between peak inerting and cup-burner concentrations⁽²⁾
 - FK-5-1-12's MPSHRe rev03 outcome > 1.3 * cup-burner
 - Cup-burner taken as limiting case for flame extinction for this application
 - » Minimal strain on applicable flame structure during extinction
 - » GENERALLY, flame suppression concentration decreases with increasing ventilation flow speed
 - 30% factor of safety included in concentrations intended for design based on cup-burner outcome⁽³⁾
 - Based on a sample of tests with a solid aerosol in 2007-2008, the MPSHRe rev03 outcome was indicated deficient to a cup-burner expectation



• MPSHRe Revision 03 (continued)

- MPSHRe rev03 terminated Oct 2008 (continued)
 - MPSHRe rev03 deemed inappropriate
 - "Built around" 2-phase compressible fluids serving as clean fire extinguishing agents (HFC-125 & CF₃I outcomes shown agreeable)
 - Outcomes were calculated *empirically* from demonstrated fire extinction behaviors AND localized concentration distribution behaviors measured in ventilation flow without fire
 - The empiricism apparently played a role creating a disconnect for atypical candidates (other unmeasured flow field parameters?)
 - As replacement candidates tended more towards "streaming" characteristics, the process started breaking down
 - Given the FAA certification test is only a concentration test, an MPSHRe rev03 outcome less than cup-burner was rationalized as unacceptable



MPSHRe Revision 04

- Wanted to improve upon MPSHRe rev03
 - Stop halon 1301 discharges, as required by MPSHRe rev03
 - Permit the process for use to evaluate any injectable candidate
 - Turn the process into a proof-test
 - Removes the empiricism of MPSHRe rev03
 - Requires interested party to identify a design goal prior to full-scale test
 - Provide opportunity to incorporate current thought into the process
 - Long-standing FAA certification criteria resembles inerting rationale⁽⁴⁾
 - Other recent activities suggest the cup-burner value is the predictor⁽⁵⁾



MPSHRe Revision 04

- Support testing
 - Small-scale wind tunnel (SSWT) activity
 - Wanted better qualitative understanding of the agent concentration distribution around some pertinent Nacelle Fire Simulator (NFS) features
 - » spray fire feature : the tube array (review slide #16)
 - » pool fire feature : the forward (FWD) fuel pan lip (review slide #17)
 - Observed theatrical smoke (liquid aerosol) flow near similar features for varied air flows so the wake regions could be characterized
 - Outcome : qualitatively, the most challenging wake for the smoke to penetrate was the forward lip of the fuel pan (a "short" wall perpendicular to the wall of the tunnel's working section)



SSWT Background, SSWT



6TH Triennial Fire and Cabin Safety Research Conference 28Oct2010

Administration



6TH Triennial Fire and Cabin Safety Research Conferenc 28Oct2010







lmagery,	05-/21-/2009 16:43:37
uel pan Lip	Camera01 5 ft/s (09429-22 / 1601)
Wake =WD F	AIR FLOW AIR FLOW AIT. 00:02:55:97 CH.00 04-29-09 Ib:05:0b





MPSHRe Revision 04

- Support testing (continued)
 - NFS activity, agent concentration distribution testing
 - Sampled halon 1301, HFC-125, & CF_3I
 - Placed sample points in free stream (F/S) & wake regions related to SSWT observations
 - Captured some localized flow speeds during agent injections
 - » Observed speeds "spike" and/or "trough"
 - » Hot-wire anemometers (HWAs) were calibrated only for air
 - Outcomes
 - » Halon 1301 still met the intent of FAA certification with sample points buried in both wake regions
 - » "High-" and "Low-vent certification" injection hardware generally improved concentration distribution profiles for HFC-125 & CF₃I





6TH Triennial Fire and Cabin Safety Research Conference 28Oct2010



hot-wire anemometers



6TH Triennial Fire and Cabin Safety Research Conference 28Oct2010



Federal Aviation Administration

Meeting Intent of FAA Certification MPSHRe rev04





"Low" ventilation



6TH Triennial Fire and Cabin Safety Research Conference 28Oct2010



Speed Profiles, Wake Regions "High" rate NFS ventilation "High-vent certification" injection halon 1301



6TH Triennial Fire and Cabin Safety Research Conference 28Oct2010



Concentration Distribution,



6TH Triennial Fire and Cabin Safety Research Conference 28Oct2010

"High" rate NFS ventilation Upper graphs - 8.0 lbf HFC-125 Lower Graphs - 3.5 lbf CF₂I



Profiles

Speed



6TH Triennial Fire and Cabin Safety Research Conference 28Oct2010

"High" rate NFS ventilation Upper graphs - 8.0 lbf HFC-125 Lower Graphs - 3.5 lbf CF₃I



MPSHRe Revision 04

- Support testing (continued)
 - NFS activity, fire extinction testing
 - Accomplished to remove rev03-required halon 1301 discharge
 - Performance thresholds for replacement candidates remain the halon 1301 performance observed during MPSHRe rev03 testing
 - Established "life-span benchmark" for fixtures expected to undergo repeated test programs
 - » Two HFC-125 configurations, each unique to ventilation regime
 - » Intended to track changes in the test environment over time



MPSHRe Revision 04

- Summary
 - New 12-point concentration sampling scheme (review slide #19)
 - Halon 1301 discharge is no longer required
 - Test process is a proof-test
 - Design criteria requires credible identification and replication in the test environment
 - If initial design criteria fail, testing reverts to empirical search-and-learn
 - Second-tier testing requirement added for atypical candidates
 - High-fidelity/full-scale demonstration testing; i.e. test in a "real" nacelle
 - An "atypical" candidate is one obviously deviant from the typical halon 1301 & associated concentration measurement, storage, and/or delivery techniques



Brief Comments on Current Test Activity

- Working with Solid Aerosol Again
- Using Manufacturer-Supplied Concentration
 Measurement System
- 2 of 4 Test Configurations Completed
- High-fidelity/Full-scale Demonstration is Required Following the Generic Fixture Testing



References/Citations.

- Bennett, J.M., Gann R.G., 2007, "Chapter 11 : Verification of Fire Suppression Principles," NIST Special Publication 1069, National Institute of Standards & Technology, Building and Fire Research Laboratory, Gaithersburg, MD.
- Chamberlain, G., 1970, "Criteria for Aircraft Installation and Utilization of an Extinguishing Agent Recorder," Report No. FAA-DS-70-3, Federal Aviation Administration, National Aviation Facilities Experimental Center, Atlantic City, NJ.
- Hamins, et al., 1994, "Flame Suppression Effectiveness," NIST Special Publication 861, National Institute of Standards & Technology, Building and Fire Research Laboratory, Gaithersburg, MD.

National Fire Protection Association, 2007, "NFPA 2001 Standard on Clean Agent Fire Extinguishing Systems," 2008 Edition, Quincy, MA. National Fire Protection Association, 1989, "NFPA 12A Standard on Halon 1301 Fire Extinguishing Systems," 1989 Edition, Quincy, MA. National Fire Protection Association, 1990, "NFPA 12B Standard on Halon 1211 Fire Extinguishing Systems," 1990 Edition, Quincy, MA.

- 1. http://www.fire.tc.faa.gov/pdf/systems/MPSErev04_MPSeRev04doc-02submtd.pdf
- (Referencing from slide 24, subsequently referencing to the bases for the graph on slide 05)
 Cup-burner test protocol : NFPA, 2007, Annex B, p.95; discussion of earlier methodology located in Annex A.5.4.2, p.50.
 Peak-inerting test protocol. NFPA, 2007, Annex A.5.4.3, p.54.
 - halon 1301 cup-burner & peak inerting concentration values cup-burner : Hamins, 1994, table 5, p.395.
 - peak inerting : NFPA, 1989, derived from table A-2-3.2.1, p.15.
 - halon 1211 cup-burner & peak inerting concentration values
 - cup-burner : NFPA, 1990, table A-2-3.2.2, p.34.
 - peak inerting : NFPA, 1990, table A-2-3.2.3, p.34.
 - HFC-125, CF₃I, & FK-5-1-12 cup-burner & peak inerting concentration values cup-burner : NFPA, 2007, Tables A.5.4.2(a) & A.5.4.2(b), p.50. peak inerting : NFPA, 2007, Table A.5.4.3, p.55.
- 3. NFPA, 2007, §5.4.2.3, p.16.
- 4. Chamberlain, 1970, p.34.
- 5. Bennett & Gann, 2007, p.1142.

