

Federal Aviation Administration

Passenger Safety Awareness Reprise 2007: Still Ignorant After All These Years

Cynthia L. Corbett, M.A. G. A. McLean, Ph.D. Civil Aerospace Medical Institute Oklahoma City, OK

Human Behavior in Emergencies



Safety Quest

What safety information is available?

- Cosper and McLean (2004). Availability of passenger safety information for improved survival in aircraft accidents
- How "Safety Aware" are passengers?
 - Johnson, D.A. (1979)
 - National Transportation Safety Board (2000)
 - Corbett and McLean (2004)
- How can "Safety Awareness" be improved?
 - Comprehensibility of briefing cards
 - Effective presentation media

Emergency Evacuation of Commercial Airplanes Safety Study

→ NTSB (2000)

"a large percentage of passengers continue to ignore preflight safety briefings" and do not read safety briefing cards

Recommended further research regarding the presentation of safety information to passengers Johnson, D.A. (1979). An Investigation of Factors Affecting Aircraft Passenger Attention to Safety Information Presentations

> Determine what differences there are between passengers who normally attend to safety presentations and those who seldom or never attend

Compared attitudes and safety awareness of attenders and nonattenders

→ Procedure

- 255 respondents interviewed
- Flown at least twice in previous 2 years
- 43 Questions

→ Results

 Non-attenders more likely to be educated younger men with more flight experience than attenders.



About half of nonattenders, and majority of attenders would pay more attention to safety presentations if fellow passengers paid attention.

Corbett and McLean (2004). Passenger Safety Awareness: Still Ignorant After All These Years

Phase 1

- April 2000 through June 2000 (Pre-9/11)
- 313 participants flown at least twice in previous 2 years
 - 162 Males, 151 Females
 - Age 18 to 65 years (Mean 37.2)
- → Phase 2
 - November 2002 through August 2003 (Post-9/11)
 - 80 participants flown at least twice in previous 2 years
 - 33 Males, 47 Females
 - Age 18 to 69 years (Mean 36.1)

Corbett and McLean (2007). Passenger Safety Awareness Reprise: Still Ignorant After All These Years

→ Phase 3

- July 2004 through February 2006 (Later)
- 442 participants flown at least twice in previous 2 years
 - 240 Males, 202 Females
 - Age 18 to 81 years (Mean 39.5)

Safety Awareness Questionnaire

→ 39 questions

Designed to gauge respondents' attention to, and knowledge about, preflight safety briefings and safety briefing cards

Answered on 5-point scale

- Never-Occasionally-Quite a Bit-Very Often-Always
- Strongly Disagree-Disagree-Undecided-Agree-Strongly Agree

Phase 1 Results



Best Predictors of Attending and Non-Attending

> → Passenger Conformity – Briefing Card → Oral Briefing Adequacy → Message Standardization and Simplicity → Age Experienced traveler image $\chi^2(3) = 93.56, p < .001$

Passenger Conformity Passenger would read the briefing card if s/he noticed more people reading the cards.



Oral Briefing Adequacy Oral briefing is inadequate and reading the briefing card is necessary.



Message Standardization and Simplicity



Participant Age



Experienced Traveler Image Most people prefer to be thought of as experienced travelers rather than someone on their first flight.



"Knowledge-based" responses

- 66% could not correctly identify specific topics covered in preflight oral briefing
- 96% could not identify topics illustrated on briefing cards
- 65% non-attenders, 58% attenders did not know to don oxygen mask first, then assist others
- Less than 50% reported that there would be 2 minutes or less time available to get out of plane once a fire started
- Only 7% stated passengers could remain conscious for 10 seconds or less following rapid decompression at very high altitude





Best Predictors of Attending and Non-Attending

> Passenger Conformity – Oral Briefing
> Fear Arousal of the Briefing Card Message

 $\chi^2(4) = 26.76, p < .001$

Passenger Conformity Passenger would listen to the oral briefing if s/he noticed more people listening.



Fear Arousal of the Briefing Card Message The passenger felt nervous when looking at or thinking about the briefing card.



"Knowledge-based" responses

- 71% non-attenders, 74% attenders could not identify topics covered in oral briefing
- 92% could not identify topics illustrated on briefing cards
- 78% non-attenders, 87% attenders knew that passenger should don the oxygen mask first, then assist others
- Only 2 participants, non-attenders, stated that passengers could remain conscious for 10 seconds or less following sudden decompression at very high altitude
- Less than 30% reported that there would be 2 minutes or less for people to get out of a plane once a fire started

Phase 3 Results



Best Predictors of Attending and Non-Attending

- Passenger conformity Briefing Cards
- Oral briefing adequacy
- Education
- → Age
- Safety Info Knowledge
- → Fear Arousal
- Passenger Self Protection
- → Fearfulness
- $\chi^2(4) = 157.29, \, p < .001$

reading the cards. 60 Attender Non-Attender 50 40 30 20 10 0 Occasionally Quite A Bit Very Often Always Never **Response Choices**

Passenger Conformity Passenger would read the briefing card if s/he noticed more people



Participant Education Level



Highest Completed Degree



Safety Information Knowledge Passenger felt sure s/he knew information on briefing card, even before boarding the aircraft.



Passenger Self-Protection Passengers can do something to protect themselves after an emergency occurs.



Fearfulness People who are fearful of flying pay attention to the preflight oral briefing and study the briefing cards.



Knowledge-based Responses

- 58% non-attenders, 54% attenders could not identify topics covered in oral briefing
- 95% could not identify topics illustrated on briefing cards
- 77% knew that passenger should don the oxygen mask first, then assist others
- Only 30% reported that there would be 2 minutes or less for people to get out of a plane once a fire started
- Only 5% non-attenders, 8% attenders stated that passengers could remain conscious for 10 seconds or less following sudden decompression at very high altitude

Conclusion

- Considerable reduction from 70% of attenders in 1979 to 40% (or less) could have adverse effects on passenger survival rates in future aircraft accidents.
- Responses from pre- and post-9/11 and later samples suggest changing motivation for attenders.
- Attenders are not internalizing the information to which they are attending.
- There is a need for increased efforts to improve passenger attention to safety presentations.
 - Including information not generally included in the presentations made on board the airplane